

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/843,687	04/30/2001	Sadami Takeoka	43889-937	3437	
7590 03/08/2005			EXAMINER		
	Γ, WILL & EMERY	DO, THUAN V			
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2825		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/843,687	TAKEOKA ET AL	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thuan Do	2825				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFI	OMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period wi triod for reply will, by statute, tree months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered time IS from the mailing date of this c NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communica	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 January 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1,2,5,8,11,12,15,17,19 and 20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
''	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 	n Review (PTO-049)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date			ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/843,687

Art Unit: 2825

Election/Restriction

The telephone calls to contact with Michael Fogarty on 03/04/05 had no response and this election restriction is established to response to the one received on 01/07/2005 to correct the claims of groups I and II. Claim 16 is missing and the status is required. Claims 3,4,6,7,9,10,13,14,18 have been canceled.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of 2 groups among: route and calculating species, each of these claims showing a distinct specie:

Group I: claims 1,11,15,17,19 and 20.

Group II: claims 2, 5,8 and 12.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by

Application/Control Number: 09/843,687

Art Unit: 2825

37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-2891.

Thuan Do

Mugnato

Primary examiner

03/05/2005